

STEVEN T. JAFFE, ESQ.  
Nevada Bar No. 7035  
[sjaffe@lawhjc.com](mailto:sjaffe@lawhjc.com)  
MONTE HALL, ESQ.  
Nevada Bar No. 239  
[montehall@lawhjc.com](mailto:montehall@lawhjc.com)

HALL JAFFE & CLAYTON, LLP  
7425 Peak Drive  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89128  
(702) 316-4111  
Fax (702) 316-4114

*Attorneys for Defendants/Third-Party Plaintiffs  
Hi-Tech Security Inc and William Roseberry*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

WESCO INSURANCE COMPANY, as  
subrogee of its insured, NICKELS AND  
DIMS INCORPORATED,

Plaintiff,

vs.

SMART INDUSTRIES CORPORATION dba  
SMART INDUSTRIES CORP., MFG., an  
Iowa corporation,

Defendants.

JENNIFER WYMAN, individually; BEAR  
WYMAN, a minor, by and through his  
natural parent JENNIFER WYMAN;  
JENNIFER WYMAN and VIVIAN SOOF, as  
Joint Special Administrators of the ESTATE  
OF CHARLES WYMAN; and SARA  
RODRIGUEZ, natural parent and guardian  
ad litem of JACOB WYMAN,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

SMART INDUSTRIES CORPORATION dba  
SMART INDUSTRIES CORP., MFG, an  
Iowa Corporation; HI-TECH SECURITY  
INC, a Nevada Corporation; WILLIAM  
ROSEBERRY; BOULEVARD VENTURES,  
LLC, a Nevada Corporation; DOES I through  
V; Does 1 through 10; and BUSINESS  
ENTITIES I through V; and ROE

CASE NO. 2:16-cv-01206-JCM-EJY

CONSOLIDATED WITH:

CASE NO. 2:16-cv-02378-JCM-CWH

**STIPULATION AND ORDER  
TO DISMISS THIRD PARTY  
COMPLAINT OF HI-TECH  
SECURITY AND WILLIAM  
ROSEBERRY AGAINST  
NICKELS AND DIMS  
INCORPORATED**

CORPORATIONS 11 through 12, inclusive,  
Defendants.

HI-TECH SECURITY INC; and WILLIAM  
ROSEBERRY,

Third-Party Plaintiffs,

vs.

NICKELS AND DIMES INCORPORATED,  
Third-Party Defendant.

**STIPULATION AND ORDER TO DISMISS THIRD PARTY  
COMPLAINT OF HI-TECH SECURITY AND WILLIAM ROSEBERRY  
AGAINST NICKELS AND DIMES INCORPORATED**

Comes Now the undersigned parties by and through their counsel who  
stipulate as follows:

1. No party to this dispute has brought a claim against Nickels and Dimes;
2. Hi-Tech has settled with the Plaintiffs, eliminating their claim for contractual indemnity against Nickels and Dimes;
3. No party has shown cause why dismissal of Hi-Tech's Third Party Complaint should not be entered as contemplated by the Court's Order of February 6, 2020, ECF 188;
4. The Third Party Complaint of Hi-Tech Security and William Roseberry against Nickels and Dimes Incorporated as Third Party Defendants

///

///

///

///

///

should be dismissed, with prejudice, with each party bearing their own fees and costs.

DATED this 26<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2020. DATED this 26<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2020.

HALL JAFFE & CLAYTON, LLP

WILSON, ELSER, MOSKOWITZ  
EDELMAN & DICKER LLP


/s/ Monte Hall, Esq.  
STEVEN T. JAFFE, ESQ.  
Nevada Bar No. 007035  
MONTE HALL, ESQ.  
Nevada Bar No. 00239  
7425 Peak Drive  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89128  
Attorneys for Defendants/Third-Party  
Plaintiffs Hi-Tech Security Inc. and  
William Roseberry

/s/ Mark C. Severino, Esq.  
KAREN L. BASHOR, ESQ.  
Nevada Bar No. 011913  
MARK C. SEVERINO, ESQ.  
Nevada Bar No. 014117  
300 S. Fourth Street, 11<sup>th</sup> Floor  
Las Vegas, NV 89101  
Attorneys for Third Party Defendant  
Nickels and Dimes Incorporated

### ORDER

It is so Ordered.

Dated March 2, 2020.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
United States District Judge